Success of dental implant osseointegration in a florid cemento-osseous dysplasia: A case report with 8-year follow-up
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Abstract

Florid cemento-osseous dysplasia (FCOD) is a rare benign fibro-osseous lesion which affects multiple quadrants and predominantly the mandible. This case report presents a successful implant placement with 8-year of follow-up in a 44-year-old woman asking for a fixed restoration in mandibular right posterior edentulous area. Radiographic images showed lobular, irregularly shaped radiopacities and radiolucencies almost symmetrically observed in the premolar-molar area of the right and left regions of the mandible. The findings of X-ray images were attributable to FCOD. Minimally invasive two-stage surgery with strict infection control was followed to place two implants in lower right posterior region. The implants demonstrated clinically rigid fixation and restored successfully after 6 months. A direct functional connection between dysplastic bone and the implant load bearing surface was evidenced by the lack of symptoms, and the lack of soft tissues inflammation or peri-implant bone loss up to 8 years follow-up.

Case Report

A 44-year-old woman presented to the private dental clinic at Tulkarm for dental treatment. The presenting complaint was to have new fixed restoration in the right lower side to replace the previously failed one in order to eat on that side and to improve the appearance. Her medical history was non-significant. The dental history revealed that the patient had 3-unit metal-ceramic fixed partial denture (FPD) supported by mandibular right second molar and right second premolar. The reason of failure of this FPD was recurrent caries of second molar up to cemento-enamel junction leading to frequent decementation of FPD (Figure 1).

Orthopantomogram (OPG) showed lobular, irregularly shaped radiopacities and radiolucencies almost symmetrically observed in the incisor, premolar, and molar areas of the right and left regions of the mandible (Figure 2). The opacities were surrounded by a radiolucent zone. The findings of X-ray images were attributable to FCOD.

After discussing with the patient about the available options to replace the missing posterior teeth as well as the pros and cons of each option, the patient decided to have dental implant therapy and provided informed consent.

Strict infection control was ensured to reduce the chance of any secondary infection, as it will be aggressive and difficult to treat. This was assured by prescribing prophylactic antibiotic (amoxicillin/clavulanic acid 500 mg/125 mg) 1 h before surgery and chlorhexidine mouthwash preoperatively and postoperatively, by ensuring sterile environment during the procedure, minimizing periosteal reflection, making the procedure as short as possible, and avoiding overheating the bone using cool sterile saline and sharp drills with intermittent pressure during drilling. Two 4.6 diameter implants with length of 12 mm (internal; BioHorizons, Birmingham, AL, USA) were placed in the mandibular first and second molar area with torque of 40 N-Cm.

Postoperative panoramic radiograph was taken at end of surgery. After 5 months of submerged healing, the implants were uncovered without noting any vertical or horizontal defects and the two implants revealed rigid fixation clinically. One month later, the implants were restored with cement-retained metal-ceramic splintered crowns. Regular clinical and radiographic follow-up with the patient was maintained.

Radiographically, OPGs were taken before the surgery (Figure 2), immediately after surgery, at prosthesis delivery, 1-year following prosthesis delivery, and every 2 years thereafter. Neither the size of FCOD lesion, nor the peri-implant bone loss were observed; however, a well-defined radiolucency appeared on distal aspect of the apex of the mandibular second molar implant. Furthermore, a cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) was undertaken six years after prosthesis delivery as it has become available, (Figure 3) which showed...
optimal functional rehabilitation and complete integration of implants. The radiographic examination using OPG and periapical images at 8-year following prosthesis delivery showed optimal osseointegration with no remarkable bone problems (Figures 4 and 5). The clinical evaluation of the covering mucosa of the treated region demonstrated non-remarkable presentation (Figure 6). Although the implants were not placed in the correctly prosthetic position, the patient has maintained good oral hygiene leading to stable level of crestal bone and healthy soft tissue up to 8-year follow-up.

Discussion

FCOD is a non-malignant fibro-osseous lesion, having multifocal involvement in the tooth-bearing areas of the mandible.6 Despite several evidences of familial and genetic predilection of some oral lesions,7,8 FCOD has a poor familial and genetic background.9,10 Clinically, this reactive lesion is asymptomatic, although the probability of dull pain, exposure of alveolar bone into the oral cavity, and alveolar sinus tract arising from secondary infection is quite possible.11 A wide array of terms has been used to report FCOD including multiple cemento-ossifying fibromas, multiple osteomas, multiple enostosis, periapical cementoblastoma, sclerosing osteomyelitis, gigantIFORM cementoma. According to the 2nd edition of the World Health Organization’s classification of odontogenic tumors, the term florid cemento-osseous dysplasia replaced gigantiform cementoma.9 A growing body of evidences now suggest that for all lesions visible in radiographs, it is not mandatory to always perform histologic examination. Thereby, only radiographic and clinical investigations may be considered critical to diagnosis of FCOD.12

A more mature FCOD lesion is transformed to dense, acellular, avascular and sclerotic, calcified tissue.3 The regeneration, osseointegration and healing capacity of the affected alveolar bone is reduced.9 It has been reported that dental implant placement in the affected region may produce osteomyelitis.13 During the preparation of osteotomy site, the bony tissue surrounding dental implant might get necrosed due to overheating. The damage to the bone can be increased if drilling is performed without sufficient cooling.14 Necrosis often leads to osteomyelitis if the adjacent inflammatory process is spread into the sclerotic bone.15 Bony sequestrum formation16 and infection are the primarily associated radiological features with chronic osteomyelitis. Infection requires debridement and enucle-
ation and might not respond to antibiotics due to avascular nature of the lesion.3

There is a dearth of literature regarding dental implant survival rate and cemento-osseous dysplasia. A recent case report showed a successful implant placement for a 62-year-old Caucasian woman with a chief complaint of mandibular partial edentulous diagnosed with FCOD. A highly conservative step-by-step 2-stage implant surgery was performed. After 6 months, the implants were loaded with fixed prosthesis. The case was followed up to 18 months revealing an optimal functional rehabilitation and complete integration of implants.4

In addition, a case report demonstrated successful integration of a dental implant placed into a late-stage focal cemento-osseous dysplasia up to 15 years without any complications. However, at the 16-year follow-up, the implant and a sclerotic mass were removed due to peri-implantitis, and the entire specimen was evaluated with conventional histology and microcomputed tomography. The analysis revealed that the sclerotic mass attached to the implant was cementum-like tissue that was free of any intervening soft tissue.17

Regarding the current case, dental implant therapy was opted after taking patient’s request and consent into consideration. If removable partial denture (RPD) was selected, the mandibular right posterior ridge would be prone to resorption since vertical and horizontal alveolar bone resorption was found to be higher in the RPD-wearing patients when comparing the dentate and edentulous sites. However, the implant-supported fixed prosthesis would improve the functional efficiency while maintaining the underlying bone. No surgical excision of these lesions was done before implant rehabilitation because the patient was completely asymptomatic, excision of these lesions would have been very difficult because it is difficult to distinguish between normal or affected bone, and if excision was made, it would result in a large discontinuity defect which may require bony reconstruction at a later stage with a bone graft, and also would expose the inferior alveolar canal.

In terms of oral rehabilitation with implants in FCOD, the avascular nature of these lesions might complicate the osseointegration of the implants and if secondary infection occurs, it will be aggressive and difficult to treat. The main expected complications have been reported as poor healing, risk of infection and fracture of the jaw.12,18,19

Conclusions

This report concludes that implant rehabilitation of the edentulous area near the FCOD lesions can be considered if strict infection control with minimally invasive submerged two-stage surgery is followed. Since there is insufficient evidence on the long-term or even the short-term success of dental implant rehabilitation in cemento-osseous dysplasia cases, we recommend regular clinical and radiographic follow-up with the patient maintaining good oral hygiene and attending regularly for follow-up and prophylaxis every three months.
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